

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/620,701 07/17/2003 Yo 23373 7590 10/31/2005		07/17/2003	Yoshinobu Utsumi	Q76496	9300	
				EXAM	EXAMINER		
	SUGHRUE			MOHANDESI, IRAJ A			
	2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
					2834		

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

	Application No. Applicant(s)			•	
	10/620,701	UTSUMI ET AL.			
Examiner		Art Unit			
	Iraj A. Mohandesi	2834			

Potoro the Eiling of an Annoal Priof									
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Iraj A. Mohandesi	2834							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI									
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN									
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL									
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•								
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		P (A d)(	(DTO) 004)						
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al</li></ul>		timely filed amondme	ent canceling the						
non-allowable claim(s).		·	_						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected:									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a						
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		1141							
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s). <u>09/16/05</u>							
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.									
	DARREN SCHU								
SUP <del>ER</del> VISORY FATENT EXAMMER									
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800									

Continuation of 13. Other: Shimitzu 6,373,155 teaches a sensor stator coil 62 and a sensor rotor 60 is positioned on the rotor. .